

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/692,443	10/22/2003	Ashok N. Kabadi	42P15578	8005
7590 12/21/2004		EXAMINER		
Ami Patel Shah			CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO	
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Seventh Floor			AKI ONII	FAFER NOMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2835	
Los Angeles, (CA 90025-1030		DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>7</i> - 1
	10/692,443	KABADI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Boris L. Chervinsky	2835	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			<i>:</i>
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 O	ctober 2003.		:
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	•	";
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the n	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213. ⁻	
Disposition of Claims		•	•
			•
4) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray			• •
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WIT IT OUT CONSIDER AUDIT.		• • • •
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
Claim(s) is/are objected to.			÷
8) Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine.	, .		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Evaminer	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	- ' '	• •	1 121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	:
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	have been received		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•	on No	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •		ane
application from the International Bureau	·		age :
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		ed.	¥.
	·		•• •
attachment(s)			·
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	50)
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTO-1	52)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Application/Control Number: 10/692,443

Art Unit: 2835

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Claims 1-15 directed to the structure of the graphite heat sink and claims 16-21 are directed to the structure of the heat pipe.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/692,443

Art Unit: 2835

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Mr. John Patrick Ward, Reg. No. 40,216 on 12/14/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 571-272-2039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn D. Feild can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Application/Control Number: 10/692,443

Art Unit: 2835

43 Page 4

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BORIS CHÉRVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER

12/16/4